#### Summary of WG1 and GG1

D. Schulte

## Results of WG1

- A full list of sub-systems from damping ring to beam dump
  - Will be published and serve as a starting point for improvements
  - Identified some people to work on sub-systems
  - Agreed on some standard format
    - Later XML may come
- Sat together in front of computers
  - Started some result checking

## Studies

- Bunch compressor design (P. Tenenbaum, E.-S. Kim, Y. Kim)
  - A few designs developed
  - Need evaluation, status quite different level
- Study of feedback in BDS (L. Hendricksen, A. Latina)
  - Need intra-pulse feedback
  - Problem in high dispersion points
  - Needs to address a number of problems
  - Can have impact on site choice

## Studies 2

- Integrated simulations (G. White)
  - Massive computing resources
  - Need to understand angle impact on beam-beam effect
  - Physics community uses results
- Correction of BDS started (not presented)

- We will work with Glen White

## Studies 3

- Main Linac
  - Several studies performed (DESY,FNAL, Cornell, CERN)
  - Curved tunnel seems to work for ILC
  - Different methods used for correction
  - Need to compare results (started)
  - Emittance growth is not small
  - Emittance tuning bumps (dispersion) help (P. Eliasson)
  - Wakefield bumps should be added

#### Recommendations

- Prepared input for all choices from list
- Are the parameters reasonable?
  - Sofar yes, but need to carry out tuning study
- Can the tunnel follow the curvature of the earth?
  - For ILC it seems so
- Cavity shape
  - Wakefields might be mitigated

#### Codes

- A number of codes exist
  - SLEPT, LUCRETIA, LIAR, MERLIN, PLACET, CAIN, GUINEA-PIG...
  - Benchmarking is important
    - Not only tracking but correction methods
    - Agreed on using the same misalignments
    - Found people for most codes
- Interest to have a central code repository
  - Strong engagement from FNAL
  - Production codes

# GG1: BCD

- Outline of BCD (baseline configuration document)
  - To be ready end of 2005
  - Needs some EDMS
  - Level of detail is still being discussed
  - KEK wants a written specification of what BCD is
  - Requires definition of change control process

## GG1: Parameters

 1 TeV high luminosity option has problem with spent beam

- For CLIC spent beam line is crucial

 A number of alternative parameter sets presented

– Different directions

• Will continue to use old sets

# **Tunnel Configuration**

- Ranking in availability
  - Near surface, all RF accessible
  - Two tunnel, RF accessible
  - One tunnel, modulator huts
  - One tunnel
- Improved design may help
- Doesn't CLIC look nice?

# Summary

- Some work done
- Much more to be done
- Not quite sure how things will go