News from the PH Linear Collider Physics Group D. Schlatter/PH

Topics:

- 1. goals of LC Physics group in PH
- 2. Faculty meeting on
 - ILC Detector R&D
 - CLIC physics benchmarks
 - CLIC parameters
- 3. LC Detector R&D proposals in PH.
 4. EUDET

Linear Collider Physics group in PH

Goals:

 continue very successful work of CLIC Physics group (Albert de Roeck, John Ellis,

- Marco Battaglia, ...)
- (re) connect CERN to ILC physics studies groups
- work on CLIC specific open questions (prepare for "2010")
- start selective R&D for LC detector (in line with existing expertise an in collaboration with outside groups, mainly working on ILC)
- continue discussions with CLIC accelerator group
- provide platform at CERN for younger physicists to work on LC physics and detector issues

Difficulties: LHC exciting and demanding, also no money!

 \rightarrow MUST work with our colleagues in the institutes





Detector R&D for the ILC

Projects, Concepts and Collaborations

Ties Behnke, DESY

CERN staff meeting, June 14, 2006



Detector R&D for the ILC



Performance requirements

Jet mass resolution:	30 % / √E	present 60%
Momentum resolution:	$\sigma({\bf p})/{\bf p}\!=\!7\!\!\times\!\!10^{-5}{\it GeV}^{-1}$	1×10 ⁻⁴
Vertex Resolution :	few µm	10 µm

In particular the Jet energy resolution cannot be reached with traditional approaches: new concepts needed.

R&D for Tracking/ Vertexing

Vertex Detector:

multi layer, high precision pixel detector is required



close to TP (1.5 cm radius) Thin

Different technologies are being investigated: CCD, MAPS, DEPFET, plus derivates

precision: µm level

material budget/ cooling / mechanics are main concerns readout speed (minimise number of overlapping bunches)





TPC R&D for the ILC

LDC and GLD: TPC is part of central tracking system



Main R&D items:

- gas amplification and readout (GEM, micromegas)
- + control of parameters
- + resolution
- + double track separation



Silicon Photo-Multipliers

Silicon photo-multiplier (SiPM):

- new detector concept, first test with beam
- sizes: 1x1mm², 1024 pixels/mm²
- gain ~ 1*10⁶ → No pre-amplifier needed
- quantum efficiency ~ 15-20%
- single tile read out / mounted directly on tile



Silicon PhotoMultiplier (SiPM) **MEPHI&PULSAR**



SiPM





Novel concept with applications beyond particle physics

15

CLI(22

Ties Behnke: Particle Flow at the ILC

Ties Behnke:Particle Flow at the ILC

16

Test Beam effort

ECAL and HCAL: plan combined test beams at CERN 2006, at Tevatron 2007



- test individual components and technologies
- test combined function includes common DAQ, analysis etc
 - Includes common DAQ, analysis era
- accumulate data to test simulation
- tune the simulation to gain trust in full event reconstruction

major effort by the CALICE collaboration Europe – USA – Asia

the biggest player in the ILC calorimeter field

also DREAM calorimeter, test beams at CERN 2006

D. Schlatter, AB CLIC meeting, 25.8.06

R&D Challenges

Calorimetry: Develop granular technologies for ECAL and HCAL

Vertexing: Develop fast, highly precise, very thin sensors

Tracking: Develop precise and "thin" TPC realization, minimize systematic error sources

SI tracking: Develop thin, long ladder structures which can give good precision

Very forward: Develop radiation hard and very hard calorimeter techniques for beam and luminosity monitoring

The focus of all these developments is very different from the focus for the LHC or the SLHC, where radiation hardness and speed are most important!

Detector concepts



27

"4th Concept"

different ideas:

- new compensating calorimeter (Dual Readout)
 - iron-less muon system (dual solenoid)



Figure 1. A drawing of the overall 4th Concept geometry showing the projective dual-readout calorimeter surrounding the tracking volume with the TPC, the inner solenoid at r = 3m outside the calorimeter, and the muon tracking volume in the annulus between the inner solenoid and the outer solenoid at r = 5.6m.

US, Italy, + ...

...and DREAM [Dual REAdout Module]





 $\leftarrow 2.5 \text{ mm} \rightarrow 4 \text{ mm} \rightarrow$

4th Concept (S, Q fibers

(S, Q fibers $0.8 \text{ mm } \phi$) Cell

[basic element of detector]

2m long extruded copper rod, [4 mm x 4 mm]; 2.5mm hole contains 7 fibers: 3 scintillator & 4 quartz (or acrylic plastic).

scintillator light \rightarrow total energy

Cherenkov light \rightarrow em energy

In total, 5580 copper rods (1130Kg) and 90km optical fibers. <u>Composition (volume)</u> Cu: S : Q : air = 69.3 : 9.4 :12.6 : 8.7 (%) <u>Effective Rad. length (X0) = 20.1mm; Moliere radius(rM)=20.35mm</u> <u>Nuclear Inter. length (lint) = 200mm;10 lint depth Cu.</u> Filling fraction = 31.7%; Sampling fraction = 2.1%



<u>Tower</u>: readout unit

Hexagonal shape with 270 cells (Fig. *b*); Readout 2 types of fibers to PMTs (PMT: Hamamatsu R580) (Fig. *a*)



• <u>Detector</u> : 3 groups of towers (Fig. *b*) center(1), inner(6) & outer(12) rings; Signals of 19 towers routed to 38 PMT

Fig. *a* : fiber bundles for read-out PMT; 38 bundles of fibers

Fig \boldsymbol{b} : front face of detector with rear end illuminated: shows 3 rings of honey-comb hexagonal structure..





D. Schlatter, AB CLIC meeting, 25.8.06

Benchmarks for a 0.5/1 TeV CLIC



Introduction
 Example processes as studied for the warm/cold technologies(*)
 Summary with proposed list of benchmarks

(*) K. Desch/LCW504

Faculty Meeting 13/6



Albert De Roeck (CERN) 1

Origine of the 'CLIC' detector (2001)



Background at the IP enforces use of a mask



CLIC: Mask covers region up to 120 mrad Energy flow measurement possible down to 40 mrad

~TESLA/NLC detector qualities: good tracking resolution, jet flavour tagging, energy flow, hermeticity,...

Faculty Meeting 13/6

Detector Parameters

Detector	CLIC			
Vertexing	$\begin{array}{c}15\mu m \oplus \frac{35\mu m GeV/c}{p\sin^{3/2}\theta}\\15\mu m \oplus \frac{35\mu m GeV/c}{p\sin^{5/2}\theta}\end{array}$	Starting point: the TESLA TDR detector Adapted to CLTC environment		
Solenoidal Field	B = 4 T	Adupted to CLIC environment		
Tracking	$\frac{\delta p_t}{p_t^2} = 5. \times 10^{-5}$	First ideas:		
E.m. Calorimeter	$\frac{\delta E}{E(GeV)} = 0.10 \frac{1}{\sqrt{E}} \oplus 0.01$			
Had. Calorimeter	$\frac{\delta E}{E \ (GeV)} = 0.40 \frac{1}{\sqrt{E}} \oplus 0.04$	3–15 cm VDET 15–80 cm Silicon/forward disks		
μ Detector	Instrumented Fe voke	80-240 cm TPC		
		240–280 cm ECAL (30 X_0)		
Energy Flow	$rac{\delta E}{E~(GeV)}\simeq 0.3rac{1}{\sqrt{E}}$	280–400 cm HCAL (6λ)		
Acceptance	$ \cos heta < 0.98$	450–450 cm Fe/muon		
mask	120 mrad			
beampipe	3 cm	or all silicon (15-120 cm)		
small angle tagger	$ heta_{min}=40{ m mrad}$	more compact		

Needs a more detailed study/different detector or low \sqrt{s} ?

Faculty Meeting 13/6

The Baseline Machine (500GeV)

ILC



not to scale

Time Structure of the Beams





Experimenting at CLIC similar to the NLC

H	ladron	ic Bac	kgrou	nd		
from ILC-TRC report:	TE	SLA	JLC	C-C	500 NL	C/GLC
$n_{\gamma} \text{ [number of } \gamma \text{s per } e \text{]}$ $N_{\text{pairs}}(p_T^{\min} = 20 \text{ MeV/c}, \Theta_{\min} = 0.2)$ $N_{\text{hadron events/crossing}} \text{ (W>5 GeV)}$	1.56 39.4 0.248	1.51 37.3 0.399	$1.36 \\ 10.7 \\ 0.075$	$1.30 \\ 15.0 \\ 0.270$	1.26 11.9 0.103	1.30 15.0 0.270

Number of $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow$ hadrons events per bunch-crossing (BX) for W>5GeV:

NLC: 0.10/0.27 events at 500/1000 GeV TESLA: 0.25/0.40 events at 500/800 GeV

Probably not a very big problem if single bunches can be resolved

Readout of whole detector between two consecutive BX is very tough within 1.4 ns at NLC

→ detector will integrate the signals over more than one BX at NLC

If detector granularity is fine enough, a single cell will not be hit in two consecutive BX (low occupancy).

→ no need to readout the cell immediately; storing time-info enough (time-stamping).

Very forward region will have high occupancy - effects not considered in this study

Battaglia,

Schulte (2000)

CLIC: 0.07/0.17 for 500/1000 GeV

Time-Stamping: how much pile-up can we afford?

Physics has to give the answer.

At LCWS04:

physics studies for overlaid background from America, Asia and Europe for the

Cold/warm scenarios

Additional energy in the detector from $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow$ hadrons:



Physics impact 1: Higgs mass measurement

Mass measurement for a light Higgs boson

Higgs-strahlung process

Best final state: H→bb, Z→qq (4 jets)

Improve mass resolution with a kinematic fit (assume 4-momentum conservation)



Study at 500 GeV for 500 fb⁻1

m_h = 120 GeV

Overlaid background will (seemingly) violate 4-momentum conservation → expect kinematic fit to fail:

www.zz

Albert De Roeck (CERN) 13

NLC/TESLA comparison: Summary

Benchmark: mass determination of 120 GeV Higgs in HZ→bbqq

# of BX	US/optimized for <10BX	US/optimized for>=10BX	EU/optimized for 1BX
0	71	74	68
1	74	78	
TESLA	77	79	75
4	79	82	78
5	79	82	
10	91	82	
20	92	81	92
64			110

At NLC, a bunch tagging of few ns is required, but a lot can be gained also from optimized analyse. R&D on detector timing is remains very important

But a similar precision can be reached

Faculty Meeting 13/6

CLIC

Albert De Roeck (CERN) 15

Physics Impact 2: CP study in H→ττ



Overlaid events may disturb tau ID and

Select HZ $\rightarrow \tau\tau\nu\nu\rightarrow\rho^+\rho^-$ vouce $\rightarrow\pi^+\pi^0\pi^-\pi^0\nu\nu\nu\nu$ events

Simplified selection:

Measure pp acoplanarity

- require 2 cones(15°) with exactly 1 charged track (not e,µ) with E>2 GeV
- at least 1 GeV neutral energy within 10 $^{\rm 0}$ around charged track
- ρ mass between 0.4 and 4 GeV

reconstruction of p decay products

- $\rho\rho$ mass between 25 and 125 GeV

 $\textbf{p}_t\text{-}\text{cut}$ not easily applicable since photons from τ decay often low-energetic

cone size will be varied to reduce impact of background

2. Hadronic Background

$HZ \rightarrow \tau \tau ee event$





Faculty Meeting 13/6

Physics Impact 3: Higgs in WW-fusion @ 1 TeV



R&D at CERN for Linear Collider Detector 2007-2009

5 R&D proposals made to PH Dept. with very modest request for resources. No decision yet.

- 1) TPC at ILC (gating with GEMs, novel read-out chambers)
- 2) Pixel detectors for LC (thinner detectors, hybrid detectors without bump bonding, ASIC with 100 ps readout!)
- 3) Ultrafast sensor based on nano-channel-plate for time stamping (100 ps?)
- 4) Calorimetry with Crystal Fibers ("Dual Readout")
- 5) Engineering design of the forward regions of a CLIC detector

People: A. Cattai, M. Hauschild, P. Jarron, P. Lecoq, G. Stefanini, ...

possible collaboration with:

EUDET 1) and 2)	SIAM collab. 3)
NIKHEF TimePix 1)	PPARC 3)
P326 Gigatracker 2)	Crystal Clear (part) 4)
ALICE TPC and pixel groups 1), 2)	AB CLIC group 5)



What is EUDET?



- FP6, 6th framework program, EU
- Detector R&D towards the International Linear Collider
- Starting date ≈ January 2006
- Duration 4 years
- Budget:
 - total 21.5 MEuro
 - of which 7 MEuro funded by EU
- 30 institutes (mainly European)
- 20 associated institutes

Lucie Linssen, PH senior staff meeting 23 Nov '05 slide 13



specific EUDET elements



Blue = with CERN participation

- Networking:
 - Computing and analysis infrastructure, web-based info
 - Validation of simulation => hadronic process in GEANT4 $\sqrt{1}$ FTE
 - Access to deep submicron microelectronics $\sqrt{\text{frame contract with IBM}}$
- Installation of large-bore magnet for TPC tests:
 - Magnetic field map $\sqrt{1}$ FTE, use LHC expt equipment
- Construction of pixel beam telescope: No
 - MAPS detectors
 - CCD and DEPFET pixel detectors for validation
 - DAQ

Lucie Linssen, PH senior staff meeting 23 Nov '05 slide 16



specific EUDET elements



Large TPC prototype:

- Low mass field cage
- End plate for GEM and Micromegas readout
- Development of prototype electronics for TPC readout $\sqrt{\text{with ALICE}}$
- Silicon pixel readout for TPC (using Medipix→TimePix) √ 1 FTE
- $\sqrt{1}$ FTE
- Infrastructure for Silicon tracking devices
- Calorimetry:
 - Scalable ECAL prototype with tungsten absorbers
 - Scalable HCAL including calibration systems
 - Very forward calorimeter (silicon and diamond sensors)
 - FE and DAQ systems for calorimeters

No

Blue = with CERN participation

No

No