



Beam profile monitors



- Performances of already tested equipments
- Perspectives for the next run

CTF3 collaboration meeting 0903

T. Lefevre



CTF3 beam & diagnostic requirements









Screen and Camera





Thermal analysis : Material study





Material	c _p	k	T _{max}
	J/gK	W/mK	°C
Be	1.825	190	1287
С	0.7	140	3527
Al	0.9	235	660
Si	0.7	150	1414
Ti	0.523	22	1668
Мо	0.25	139	2623
W	0.13	170	3422



Need thin foil of a material with a

- High fusion temperature
- High specific heat c_p
- High thermal conductivity (for graphite $\Delta T=12\%$ after 1ms)

Good candidates : Be (poison), Graphite





<u>Calculations for the injector</u> <u>profile monitor</u>

I = 5.4A , E = 140keV , σ = 1mm				
t_p	T (°C) @ 10Hz		Т (°С) @ 50Нz	
(µs)	С	Al	С	Al
0.2	103	83	164	132
0.8	272	194	558	421
1.56	440	434	1003	x

<u>Calculations for the linac</u> <u>profile monitors</u>

I = 3.5A, E = 150MeV, t_p =1.56µs				
σ	T (°C) @ 10Hz		T (°C) @ 50Hz	
(mm)	С	Al	С	Al
0.25	1730	x	2250	x
0.5	-	x	-	x
0.6	-	510	-	650

• Carbon screens will stand the full beam intensity for the maximum repetition rate at every energy

• Other material like aluminum can only be used for a reduced bunch charge and a lower repetition rate



Electron-photon conversion process



Optical Transition radiation



• The number of OTR photons emitted by an electron in the wavelength range $[\lambda_a, \lambda_b]$

$$N_{OTR} = \frac{2\alpha}{\pi} \left[\left(\beta + \frac{1}{\beta} \right) \cdot \ln \left(\frac{1+\beta}{1-\beta} \right) - 2 \right] \ln \left(\frac{\lambda_b}{\lambda_a} \right)$$



ĸ

Electrons energy (MeV)	0.14	20	40	150
[400,600]nm OTR photons per electron	7.2 10-4	7.3 10 -3	8.6 10 ⁻³	1.1 10-2
[400,600]nm OTR photons on the camera	4 10 ⁸	6 10 ¹⁰	7 1010	9 10 ¹⁰





• The number of Black body photons emitted per second in the wavelength range $[\lambda_a,\lambda_b]$ and in 2π sr is given by:

$$N_{BB} = \int_{\lambda_a}^{\lambda_b} \frac{2\pi c}{\lambda^4} \frac{2\pi \sigma^2 \varepsilon}{\frac{hc}{e^{kT\lambda}} - 1} d\lambda$$

Black Body spectrum depends on the temperature.
 In our temperature range it is more intense in the red

 \cdot $N_{\scriptscriptstyle BB}$ increases with the temperature



Electrons energy (MeV) / Beam size (mm)	0.14 / 1	20 / 0.25
Temperature (°C) : 50 Hz / 10 Hz	1003 / 440	2250 / 1730
Number of BB photons on the camera	2 10 ⁵ / 4 10 ⁻⁹	4.5 10 ⁹ / 5.4 10 ⁸

 \cdot The target temperature has decreased by 15% in 10ms so that N_{BB} in the visible range has dropped by at least a factor 10

- Calculated in the range [400, 600]nm assuming a detection angle of 1.26msr and considering that BB emission lasts 10ms
 - \cdot BB emission is only problematic at full beam charge and maximum repetition rate
 - Can be suppressed using optical filter
 - Need to be considered in the case of beam halo measurement







 \bullet P47 Phosphor (Y2SiO5:Ce) deposit on a 10 μm thick aluminum foil

- $\boldsymbol{\cdot}$ 5µm thick with 1µm grain size
- Spectral response : [370, 480nm], Max at 450nm
- Decay time : 100ns (90-10%) , 2.9 μs (10-1%)
- \cdot 400 ph/el in 2 π and 0.1 ph/el on the camera



- Lot of light : 6.10¹⁰ ph for 30nC
- High risk of damage
- Thin carbon foil (OTR)
- \cdot 5 μ m thick
- Spectral response : visible region [400-600nm]
- Temporal response : few fs
- 7 10⁻⁴ ph/el (total), 3 10⁻⁶ ph/el (camera), 7 10⁻⁷(proxitronic)



- + Few photons : 1.5 10^8 ph for $8\mu C$
- Need light intensification but fast time response







Emitted light intensity is reduced by 77% after a total charge lower than 1C/cm² (20days, 15minutes per day, 5Hz and 100nC) (Expected value 5C/cm² for a 50% light intensity reduction)





Observation of forward OTR from a graphite foil



• Backward OTR depends on the material reflectivity

• Provided the screen is very thin, the beam quality and beam size are not perturbed and the OTR light emitted in the forward direction is 5 times higher than the backward emission

Temporal evolution of the beam profile
within the pulse duration[0-100]ns[100-200]ns[200-300]ns[0-100]ns[100-200]ns[0-100]ns

+ 1 A beam current : 100nC - 4 10 5 photons over 100ns

• Images taken using a factor 500 light amplification (compared to a CCD camera)

Possible improvements using a better light collection system



Spectrometer line profile monitor







<u>Calibration :</u> 200µm/pixel 5.5mm total

• OTR screen :

- \bullet 100 μm thick aluminum foil
- Size : 10cm x 4cm
- 2.8 10⁻³ ph/el (on camera)



'4.1 10⁸- 7.2 10¹⁰ ph'

Observation for a beam with a minimum charge of 90nC (~ 1.2 10⁹ photons)



hard camera



CCD camera

Minimum energy dispersion ~ 0.9% (σ = 3.6mm)

SEMgrid profiles ~ 1 A - 320 ns - 25.5 MeV



Problems for higher beam charge that need to be understood



Linac profile monitor







• Calibration : 150μ m/pixel - 40mm total

• OTR screen :

- \cdot 100 μ m thick carbon foil (26% reflectivity)
- Size : Ø3cm
- \cdot 0.9 10⁻³ ph/el (on camera) for 20MeV electrons

' 1.1 10⁸-3 10¹⁰ photons '

Possible improvements using an aluminum screen for the observation of lower beam charge





OTR carbon screen - 3.5 A 20 MeV Streak camera image - slow sweep speed



 Not enough light per bunch to allow a good bunch length measurement (Need 5 10⁶ photons per bunch)

- Graphite screen : 26% less reflectivity than 'perfect' (mirror like) OTR screen
- Low light transmission (30%) between the screen and the camera:
 35m long optical line
 - at 20MeV OTR emission angle is still large (50mrad)

3.5 10⁵ photons per bunch



- Better light collection for higher beam energy (gain a factor
 2 between 20 and 40MeV)
- Aluminum screen for the observation of reduced pulse length (at least gain x4 in light intensity)

• Alternative solution : Move closer the streak camera lab





- All the beam profile monitors have been tested during the commissioning
 Based on the obtained results, an optimization remains to be done. (modification of the radiation hard camera sensitivity)
 - OTR for non relativistic electrons is potentially very interesting and need to be studied carefully.
- For more critical points (like the SEMgrids) a rigorous experimental study is needed to understand and solve the observed problems.

- Things will be easier for higher energy electrons (up to 150MeV)
- Test of beam halo and OTR lobe monitoring on its way