



# CLIC PETS test program.

I. Syratchev

I. Syratchev, CTF#3 Collaboration meeting, CERN November 2004.

11/29/2004





#### PETS machining prototype



PETS geometry choice is a result of multiple compromises between beam stability along whole decelerator (600 m) and active length of the structure given by main accelerator RF power needs and layout. Surface electric field, power extraction method, HOM damping mechanism and fabrication technology were all evaluated to provide reliable design.



I. Syratchev, CTF#3 Collaboration meeting, CERN November 2004.

at 800 MW E <sub>max</sub> = 135 MV/m H <sub>max</sub> =0.22MA/m





Transverse wake amplitude (GDFIDL)



# M1 M2 Kt, V/pC/m/mm 0.904 0.473 F, GHz 27.844 34.915 Beta 0.876 C 0.646 C Q loaded (HFSS) 40 38

Damping mechanism in PETS can be explained as a coherent radiation into the damping slot of many individual RF sources. The angle of radiation depends on the phase advance and distance between them.





I. Syratchev, CTF#3 Collaboration meeting, CERN November 2004.



# PETS 30 GHz 8 cannel quasi-optical RF power extractor









### Prototype low power RF measurements





 $Power^{Measured}$  channel = 0.11







CLIC PETS ON/OFF mechanism description



INTERNATIONAL LINEAR COLLIDER TECHNICAL REVIEW COMMITTEE

Reliability

#### Ranking 1

Second Report 2003

• In the present CLIC design, an entire drive beam section must be turned off on any fault (in particular on any cavity fault). CLIC needs to develop a mechanism to turn off only a few structures in the event of a fault. At the time of writing this report, there is no specific R&D program aimed at that objective but possible schemes are being studied.

In detuned accelerating structure without losses, the field distribution along the structure can be expressed as:

$$E(z) \approx \int_{0}^{z} \cos\left(\frac{\omega_{D} - \omega_{0}}{2C} \times \frac{1 - \beta}{\beta} z\right) dz$$

If we need to avoid power production at the end of the structure, than the detuning should be sufficient if:

$$F_D = F_0 \pm \frac{\beta \times C}{(1-\beta) \times L}$$

Where  $F_D$  is a new detuned synchronous frequency, L - length of the structure and  $\beta$  - group velocity. For CLIC PETS  $F_D$  = 31.69 GHz:



By insertion of 4 (1.8 mm thick) wedges through the damping slots, sufficient PETS synchronous frequency detuning can be achieved.



Wedge's edge radial position, mm

The detailed study of possibility to provide variable attenuation and mechanical layout of the de-tuner are under way.

I. Syratchev, CTF#3 Collaboration meeting, CERN November 2004.





#### Motivation

The International Linear Collider Technical Review Committee has listed "the test of a CLIC relevant linac subunit" as a **Ranking 2** task for CLIC study.













## Work package description

The requirement is for a fully-equipped test facility to enable the testing of a CLIC linac sub unit consisting of one CLIC PETS with 'on/off' option and 4 HDS structures with the nominal CLIC 30 GHz RF power and pulse length. Since the CTF3 drive beam is only 35 A instead of the 164 A CLIC beam, it will be necessary to use a RF power booster to "prime" the CLIC PETS to produce the full power.







#### Basic consideration:

The surface electric field in booster should not exceed the corresponding values in CLIC PETS. The same could be true for the RF power density.





As a 'conventional' booster, 9 mm PETS can be used. It will deliver 130 MW from drive beam of 35 A at a length of 22 cm (66 cells). A 130 MV/m surface electric field in a booster is comparable to the CLIC PETS value - 135 MV/m at about the same power density ~ 2MW/mm<sup>2</sup>. The total booster length is about 1.0 m (couplers are included). With that the longest waveguide line (WR32) of 80 cm have 92% efficiency. All the components for such a booster and x8 combiner are exist and were partially tested.



I. Syratchev, CTF#3 Collaboration meeting, CERN November 2004.









As an example, 4 different structures with identical length equal to that was used in scheme#1 (66 cells) were studied while keeping  $E_{surf}$  below 135 MW/m in each of them. Even 600 MW appeared to be possible.

Very careful design of between-structures spacer is needed, both to keep good matching and RF-to-beam phasing, because now the beam and RF use the same channel.

Distance, m

0.5

0.33

0.17

0.67

0.83